

AGENDA
Baltimore City Civilian Review Board
REGULAR MEETING
February 16, 2022
Place: Enabled by Cisco WebEx
6:00-8:00 pm

I. Welcome & call to order

II. Review and approval of agenda

III. Review and approval of minutes

- January 2022

IV. Director's Report

V. New Complaints:

- A. CRB2022-0016: Filed 1/26/2022 alleging Harassment because police cars continuously circle the Complainant's block with sirens on.
- B. CRB2022-0014: Filed 1/18/2022 stating that the Complainant was sideswiped by another car, which turned into an altercation where the other driver pulled a gun on the Complainant. During the altercation, the driver tells the Complainant he is a police officer. The driver used abusive language throughout the confrontation.
- C. CRB2022-0008: Filed 1/18/2022 alleging that on 8/9/2021 his child's mother entered his home through a window with bags of clothes. The Complainant asked her to leave the house, she refused, so the Complainant threw the bags outside. The Complainant wanted to call the police, but his phone was dead. The police showed up anyway. The Complainant asked the police to make the woman leave, but instead the police asked the Complainant what his name was and if they could search him for weapons; he refused. The woman then told the officers a name for the Complainant, which was not his real name. The Complainant did not correct her. The Complainant then talked with the officers about an alleged assault regarding the woman. The Complainant is then arrested for assault. One of the officers was aggressive in handling the Complainant.

VI. Completed Cases:

- A. CRB2021-0194: Filed 11/23/2021 for Excessive Force. The complaint alleges that on 2/27/2021 the Complainant was arrested in Baltimore County and taken to the hospital for injuries. At the hospital, two BPD officers were present. The Complainant alleges that the BPD officers encouraged hospital staff to draw his blood and medicate him against his will. The BPD officers gave false information to the hospital regarding where his injuries came from, which impacted the medications he was given. Report indicates that there were no BPD officers involved.
- B. CRB2021-0143: Filed 12/15/2021 against an identified BPD officer for Abusive Language. The complaint alleges that on 2/16/2021 the subject officer executed a search warrant on her property during which the officer refused to talk to her lawyer and threatened to continue to come back to the home if he didn't get to speak to her daughters. When the Complainant asked for a copy of the search warrant, she was provided a Sealing Order instead. The officer attempted to interview a minor without consent or representation. PIB provided body-worn camera footage of the search warrant execution.

AGENDA
Baltimore City Civilian Review Board
REGULAR MEETING
February 16, 2022
Place: Enabled by Cisco WebEx
6:00-8:00 pm

- C. CRB2021-0125: Complaint filed 7/22/2021 against an identified BPD officer for Abusive Language and Excessive Force. The complaint alleges that on 7/8/2021 several unknown officers of the BPD and paramedics were called to the Complainant's home. It was reported that the Complainant was trapped inside of his vehicle and needed assistance to get out. The Complainant stated that he was sitting inside of his Range Rover attempting to fix the car's kill-switch. He was unable to do so, which effectively locked him inside of the car. The Complainant stated that he called the police for assistance as well as the front desk official inside his apartment building. The Complainant alleged that when the subject officer and other officers of the BPD arrived at the scene, they "unnecessarily" smashed the Complainant's vehicle windows. The Complainant alleged excessive force because he said that the subject officer and other officers "dragged" him out of his vehicle. The Complainant alleged abusive language because he said that the subject officer and other officers at the scene were disrespectful and racist towards him. The body-worn camera footage shows that upon the BPD officers' arrival, the Complainant was trapped inside of his Range Rover and the windshield on the Complainant's vehicle was already shattered. According to the conversation captured on the BWC among the officers, civilian witnesses inside of the Complainant's apartment building witnessed the Complainant smashing his vehicle's windshield with his head. In an attempt to extricate the Complainant, an officer smashed the driver side window of the vehicle with his baton as the Complainant sat in the back seat. The Complainant stated the vehicle did not possess lock buttons on the inside. Ultimately, the officers called the medics and fire department to the scene. The fire department stated that they would have to remove the door off the Complainant's vehicle to safely remove him. As the firemen approached the vehicle to remove the door, the Complainant tossed the vehicle keys outside of the broken windshield at the officers. The officers were noticeably confused at the Complainant's behavior. When the officers were able to unlock the back door they removed the Complainant from the vehicle and the Complainant walked to the ambulance.
- D. CRB2020-0123: Complaint filed 8/1/2021 against an identified BPD officer for False Arrest. The complaint alleges that on 6/19/2021 the Complainant called the police to report trespassing, but the police gave the keys to his property to the trespasser. Body-worn camera shows that when the officers arrived on the scene, the Complainant reported that there were trespassers on his property trying to break in. Upon the officers' arrival, the property manager was also present. The property manager informed the officers that the Complainant had broken into the building and changed the locks. The subject officer was not surprised at the property manager's accusation and informed him that the Complainant had broken into several other properties around the city and changed the locks on the owners. The property manager stated that the Complainant had broken into this property before. An officer called the State's Attorney Office to see if he should arrest the Complainant. The SAO advised that the officers should arrest the Complainant; further, the property manager wanted to press charges. The Complainant was arrested. Because the Complainant had changed the locks, he was the individual who let the officers into the property. Complainant also stated that he filed a complaint with PIB but did not receive a response from PIB.

AGENDA
Baltimore City Civilian Review Board
REGULAR MEETING
February 16, 2022
Place: Enabled by Cisco WebEx
6:00-8:00 pm

VII. Public Comment

VIII. Old Business

- CRB legislation - update

IX. New Business

X. Adjournment